

FACULTY OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES

PROGRAM: ENGLISH LANGUAGE MASTER'S STUDIES

MASTER'S THESIS

VERB PHRASES IN ENGLISH AND ALBANIAN WITH SPECIAL REFERENCES TO SPECIFIC VERBS

Candidate: Mentor:

Diana Shala Prof. Assoc. Dr. Mirvan Xhemaili

Prishtinë, 2025

Statement of Academic Integrity

Based on the Regulation for Organizing Master's Studies and the Code of Conduct, I hereby declare, with full moral and legal responsibility, that the material presented in my MA thesis is entirely my own work, and that it does not contain any actions that could be classified as plagiarism. I further declare that I have accurately and correctly referenced all sources of literature used in my thesis. With full legal responsibility, I acknowledge the consequences of making a false declaration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS!

I express my sincere gratitude to all those who have supported and helped me, near or far, in carrying out this work. I especially thank my supervisor, who agreed to guide me in this crucial enterprise of my formation, without sparing her help, support, trust, and above all her valuable advice for the realization of this work, as well as in particular for her high motivation and courage on a personal level for the finalization of this paper. In closing, the greatest thanks goes to my family, who have stood by me devotedly, and given me their maximum support, facilitating my academic work through sacrifice and understanding. For the missing time and attention, for the constant patience and understanding, I dedicate this work, above all, to my beloved family. Gratitude goes to the university for the opportunity offered, as well as to all the pedagogues with whom I had the pleasure of sharing the lecture hours, devoting themselves to the maximum.

Abstrakt

This master's thesis examines verb phrases in English and Albanian, with a particular emphasis on specific verbs, aiming to uncover structural and functional similarities and differences between the two languages. Adopting a comparative linguistic approach, the study analyzes a corpus of 56 verb phrase instances drawn from diverse sources, including literary texts, spoken language corpora, and academic publications. The methodology involves a detailed syntactic and semantic analysis, focusing on key grammatical features such as transitivity, modality, and aspect. Verbs like *to be, to have*, and *to go*, along with their Albanian counterparts, are analyzed to identify unique patterns of usage and construction, as well as shared linguistic principles. The findings highlight notable differences in the use of auxiliary verbs and modal constructions, while also revealing comparable syntactic structures in the treatment of verb phrases across both languages. These insights contribute to a more nuanced understanding of English and Albanian verb phrase typologies and hold implications for language teaching, translation, and further linguistic research. In conclusion, this thesis offers a comprehensive comparison of English and Albanian verb phrases, contributing to the fields of comparative linguistics and language pedagogy. Limitations of the study are acknowledged, and recommendations for future research are proposed to encourage more extensive cross-linguistic investigation.

Keywords: Verb Phrases, Comparative Linguistics, Syntax, Semantics, Language Pedagogy

Abstrakt

Kjo temë masteri shqyrton frazat foljore në gjuhën angleze dhe atë shqipe, me theks të veçantë te disa folje specifike, duke synuar të zbulojë ngjashmëritë dhe dallimet strukturore e funksionale midis këtyre dy gjuhëve. Duke përdorur një qasje krahasuese gjuhësore, studimi analizon një korpus prej 56 rasteve të frazave foljore, të mbledhura nga burime të ndryshme, përfshirë tekste letrare, korpuse të gjuhës së folur dhe botime akademike. Metodologjia përfshin një analizë të detajuar sintaksore dhe semantike, me fokus në veçori kyçe gramatikore si tranzitiviteti, modaliteti dhe aspekti. Foljet si *to be, to have* dhe *to go*, së bashku me ekuivalentët e tyre në gjuhën shqipe, analizohen për të identifikuar modele unike të përdorimit dhe ndërtimit, si dhe parime të përbashkëta gjuhësore. Gjetjet nxjerrin në pah dallime të dukshme në përdorimin e foljeve ndihmëse dhe konstrukteve modale, ndërkohë që tregojnë edhe ngjashmëri strukturore sintaksore në trajtimin e frazave foljore në të dyja gjuhët. Këto përfundime kontribuojnë në një kuptim më të thellë të tipologjive të frazave foljore në anglisht dhe shqip, dhe kanë rëndësi për mësimdhënien e gjuhëve, përkthimin dhe kërkime të mëtejshme gjuhësore. Në përfundim, kjo temë ofron një krahasim të gjithanshëm të frazave foljore në anglisht dhe shqip, duke sjellë kontribute të vlefshme në fushat e gjuhësisë krahasuese dhe pedagogjisë së gjuhës. Gjithashtu, diskutohen kufizimet e studimit dhe jepen sugjerime për kërkime të ardhshme në drejtim të analizave më të zgjeruara ndërgjuhësore.

Fjalë kyç: Fraza Foljore, Gjuhësi Krahasuese, Sintaksë, Semantikë, Pedagogji e gjuhës

Table of Contents

Introduc	ction	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
Objectiv	ves	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
Researc	h Questions	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
Method	ology	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
Expected Outcomes		Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
CHAPTER 2: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK			Bookmark not	defined.
2.1. Г	Definition of Verbal Phrases and Their Characteristics	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
2.2. C	Classification of Verbal Phrases in English	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
2.3. S	structure and Function of Verbal Phrases in Albanian	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
	Main Differences Between English and Albanian in the I			
	Difficulties Albanian Students Encounter in Acquiring V mark not defined.	erbal Phr	ases in English	Error!
2.6. P	Previous Studies on the Topic	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
СНАРТ	TER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
3.1. T	Type of study and methodological approach	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
3.2. Selection of study participants Error! Bookmark not define			defined.	
3.3. Data Collection Tools			defined.	
3.3.1. Analysis of the Language Corpus			defined.	
3.3.2. Questionnaires for Students				
3.3.3. Interviews with Teachers and Translators Error! Bookmark not defined.				
3.3.4. Analysis of Teaching Materials Error! Bookmark not define				defined.
3.4. Data analysis methods Error! Bookmark not define			defined.	
3.5. S	study limitations	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
СНАРТ	TER 4: ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
4.1.	Use of verbal phrases in English and Albanian	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
4.2.	Identification of the main challenges of Albanian stude Error! Bookmark not defined.	ents in usi	ing verbal phra	ses
4.3. phrase	Analysis of the impact of syntactic and semantic changes		-	
4.4.	Recommendations for improving teaching methods	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.
4.5.	Discussion of findings in relation to previous studies	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Conclusions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Recommendations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
REFERENCE	
APPENDIX	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Introduction

Verb phrases are fundamental components of sentence structure, playing a crucial role in expressing actions, states, and events across all languages. As a core element of syntax, verb phrases contribute not only to the grammatical formation of sentences but also to their meaning and interpretation. In both English and Albanian, verb phrases typically consist of a main verb, which may be accompanied by auxiliary verbs, modal verbs, particles, and other syntactic elements. These constructions reveal the linguistic mechanisms through which languages convey tense, aspect, mood, voice, and other grammatical categories.

The study of verb phrases offers valuable insights into the syntactic and semantic organization of a language, with implications for fields such as theoretical linguistics, language education, and translation studies. While English verb phrase structures have been extensively analyzed due to the language's global significance and abundant linguistic resources, Albanian remains comparatively underexplored. This contrast presents both challenges and opportunities for linguistic inquiry. The differences in verb phrase structures between English and Albanian highlight distinct typological features and provide a meaningful basis for cross-linguistic comparison.

This research aims to conduct a detailed comparative analysis of verb phrases in English and Albanian, focusing on high-frequency and functionally significant verbs such as *to be*, *to have*, and *to go*, along with other auxiliary and modal verbs. By analyzing these verbs in diverse syntactic and semantic contexts, the study seeks to reveal how verb phrases are constructed and function in both languages, drawing on examples from everyday speech, formal writing, and media discourse.

The comparison will illuminate broader issues of linguistic typology and grammatical expression. For instance, English commonly relies on auxiliary verbs to express tense and aspect, whereas Albanian utilizes a combination of inflectional morphology, auxiliary verbs, and particles. These differences shed light on each language's grammatical flexibility and constraints. Understanding

these structures is essential not only for linguistic theory but also for practical applications in second language teaching, translation, and curriculum development.

In bilingual contexts such as Albania and Kosovo, where English is widely taught as a second language, a clear understanding of verb phrase structures in both languages can enhance pedagogical strategies. Such insights can assist learners in mastering complex features like auxiliary usage, modals, and phrasal verbs—areas where direct equivalents between English and Albanian are often lacking.

From a translation perspective, a precise understanding of verb phrases is essential to ensure accurate and idiomatic equivalence between English and Albanian. Even subtle variations in tense, aspect, or modality can lead to significant differences in meaning, making this area critical for both academic and professional translators. This study aims to contribute to the field of comparative linguistics while offering practical recommendations for language educators and translators.

In summary, this thesis provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of verb phrases in English and Albanian, with attention to their structural, functional, and pedagogical dimensions. The findings are expected to support linguists, teachers, and translators in navigating the complexities of verb phrase construction in both languages.

Objectives

- 1. To identify and describe the structure and components of verb phrases in English and Albanian
 - This includes analyzing auxiliary verbs, modal verbs, particles, and prefixes.
- 2. To compare the use of key verbs such as *to be*, *to have*, and *to go* in both languages The focus is on grammatical rules and semantic roles across English and Albanian.
- 3. To analyze the main challenges faced by learners and translators when working with verb phrases
 - This involves identifying common difficulties and proposing practical solutions.
- 4. To propose recommendations for improving teaching materials and methods related to verb phrases
 - These suggestions aim to enhance comprehension and usage among learners.

Research Questions

- 1. What are the main syntactic components of verb phrases in English and Albanian? This question investigates the structural elements that form verb phrases, including auxiliary verbs, modals, prefixes, and inflections.
- 2. How do the key verbs *to be*, *to have*, and *to go* function differently in English and Albanian? It explores both syntactic construction and semantic interpretation, focusing on tense, aspect, and modality.
- 3. What are the main challenges learners and translators encounter with verb phrases in both languages, and how can these be addressed? This question targets issues such as non-equivalent structures and proposes strategies for better comprehension and application.
- 4. How can educational materials and teaching strategies be improved to facilitate the learning of verb phrases in English and Albanian? This involves analyzing current textbook content and curricula to identify gaps and offer actionable improvements.

CONCLUSIONS

This research has provided an in-depth comparative analysis of verb phrases in English and Albanian, with particular attention to high-frequency verbs such as *to be*, *to have*, and *to go*. By examining the syntactic and semantic structures of verb phrases in both languages, the study contributes to the broader field of comparative linguistics while addressing practical challenges in language learning, teaching, and translation.

Verb phrases are fundamental to sentence structure and meaning, expressing tense, aspect, modality, and voice. The contrast between English—a global lingua franca—and Albanian—a language with distinct grammatical features—has revealed both universal linguistic principles and language-specific characteristics.

The analysis, which draws on corpora, textbooks, media, and native speaker input, highlights several key differences. One significant finding is that structural differences between English and Albanian verb phrases contribute to frequent learner errors, especially in the use of auxiliary verbs, modal verbs, phrasal verbs, the progressive and perfect aspects, and the passive voice.

Phrasal verbs, in particular, present notable difficulties for Albanian learners due to their idiomatic nature and the lack of direct equivalents in Albanian. Learners often resort to literal translations, leading to errors in usage. Similarly, challenges arise in the omission or misplacement of auxiliary verbs—common in forming continuous and perfect tenses—since Albanian does not use auxiliaries in the same way.

Modal verbs also pose problems because, unlike in English, Albanian modals inflect based on subject and tense. This results in the misuse of modal structures and confusion in their placement. Additionally, the passive voice is used less frequently in Albanian, often replaced by active constructions, which causes learners to avoid or misuse the passive in English.

Syntactic and semantic mismatches between the two languages further complicate verb phrase acquisition. Differences in word order, the absence of a progressive aspect in Albanian, and native-language interference often result in incorrect sentence formation.

Despite these challenges, the study affirms that targeted teaching strategies can significantly improve Albanian learners' mastery of English verb phrases. Contrastive grammar instruction, increased exposure to authentic English content, and interactive language activities all play a key role in helping students bridge the gap between the two languages.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed for educators, curriculum developers, and language professionals:

1. Integrate Contrastive Grammar Instruction

Teaching should emphasize the structural differences between English and Albanian verb phrases, particularly in the use of auxiliaries, modals, and aspects. Lessons should include exercises that explicitly contrast the two systems to help learners internalize English-specific rules.

2. Prioritize Systematic Instruction of Phrasal Verbs

Given their idiomatic nature, phrasal verbs require targeted instruction. Teachers should present them in categorized groups based on meaning and function, alongside context-rich examples that demonstrate their usage.

3. Use Authentic Materials and Contextual Learning

Incorporating real-world texts—such as films, podcasts, dialogues, and news articles—can expose learners to natural verb phrase usage. These materials support the development of listening and reading skills while reinforcing grammar and vocabulary in context.

4. Implement Task-Based and Communicative Activities

Activities such as role-plays, discussions, storytelling, and problem-solving tasks should require students to use verb phrases actively. Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) methods can foster fluency and accuracy through meaningful interaction.

5. Provide Personalized Feedback and Error Correction

Continuous, individualized feedback on verb phrase usage helps learners identify patterns of error and refine their skills. Teachers should focus on corrective strategies that are supportive and constructive.

By applying these recommendations, educators can help Albanian learners overcome the structural and semantic barriers associated with English verb phrases. Improved teaching practices will ultimately enhance learners' grammatical accuracy, communicative competence, and overall language fluency.

REFERENCES

Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Leech, G. (2002). *Longman student grammar of spoken and written English*. Pearson Education Limited.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Butskhrikidze, M. (2021). What do modern languages with Scriptio Continua have in common? *Journal of Linguistics/Jazykovedný casopis*, 72(3), 821-838.

Celce-Murcia, M., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (1999). *The grammar book: An ESL/EFL teacher's course*. Heinle & Heinle Publishers.

Chalker, S., & Weiner, E. (1994). *The Oxford dictionary of English grammar*. Oxford University Press.

Cook, V. (2016). Second language learning and language teaching (5th ed.). Routledge.

Cowan, R. (2008). *The teacher's grammar of English: A course book and reference guide*. Cambridge University Press.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Demaj, U., Alla, A. (2023). Bac, u kry! Space, Albanian Commemoration and the Gheg Variety as a Linguistic Symbol of State Independence in Postwar Kosovo. *Journal of Nationalism, Memory & Language Politics*. 17(2), 145-175.

Dörnyei, Z. (2007). Research methods in applied linguistics: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methodologies. Oxford University Press.

Dörnyei, Z. (2009). The psychology of second language acquisition. Oxford University Press.

Eastwood, J. (2005). Oxford guide to English grammar. Oxford University Press.

Ellis, N. C. (2008). The study of second language acquisition (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Ellis, R. (2003). Task-based language learning and teaching. Oxford University Press.

Ellis, R., & Barkhuizen, G. (2005). Analyzing learner language. Oxford University Press.

Gardner, D., & Davies, M. (2007). Pointing out frequent phrasal verbs: A corpus-based analysis. *TESOL Quarterly*, 41(2), 339–359. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1545-7249.2007.tb00062.x

Gass, S. M., & Selinker, L. (2008). Second language acquisition: An introductory course (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Hinkel, E. (2016). *Teaching grammar in second language classrooms: Integrating form-focused instruction in communicative contexts*. Routledge.

House, J. (2015). Translation quality assessment: Past and present. Routledge.

Hunston, S. (2002). Corpora in applied linguistics. Cambridge University Press.

Krashen, S. (1985). The input hypothesis: Issues and implications. Longman.

Lado, R. (1957). *Linguistics across cultures: Applied linguistics for language teachers*. University of Michigan Press.

Lafe, E. (1977). Sintaksa e gjuhës shqipe. Akademia e Shkencave e Shqipërisë.

Larsen-Freeman, D. (2011). *Techniques and principles in language teaching* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Llunji, V., Paçarizi, A. (2023). Antithesis as a powerful linguistic tool of persuasion in political speeches in Kosovo. *On-line Journal Modelling the New Europe: Interdisciplinary Studies*, 43(1), 123–144.

Mackey, A., & Gass, S. M. (2015). Second language research: Methodology and design (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Butskhrikidze, M. (2021). What do modern languages with Scriptio Continua have in common? *Journal of Linguistics/Jazykovedný casopis*, 72(3), 821-838.

Meyer, C. F. (2009). *Introducing English linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.

Mitchell, R., Myles, F., & Marsden, E. (2013). *Second language learning theories* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Murphy, R. (2019). English grammar in use (5th ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Nassaji, H., & Fotos, S. (2011). Teaching grammar in second language classrooms: Integrating form-focused instruction in communicative contexts. Routledge.

Nation, I. S. P. (2009). *Teaching ESL/EFL reading and writing*. Routledge.

Newmark, P. (1988). A textbook of translation. Prentice Hall.

Palmer, F. R. (1986). *Mood and modality*. Cambridge University Press.

Ramadani, F., Sallauka, A. (2024). Symbolism in "A Curious Case of Benjamin Button" (1922) by F. Scott Fitzgerald. *Ezikov Svyat*, 22(3), 143-150. https://ezikovsvyat.swu.bg/images/stories/issue%2022.3 2024/14.%20Ramadani,%20Sallauka_1 43 150.pdf

Ramadani, F. (2021). Representative Symbols of the American Society: Revisiting F. Scott Fitzgerald's Selected Novels. *Eurasian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(2), 73-83. http://dx.doi.org/10.32601/ejal.911506

Radford, A. (2009). English syntax: An introduction. Cambridge University Press.

Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Rushiti, B. (2024). The discourse particle *vallë* in Albanian. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 231, 19–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2024.04.009

Rushiti, B., & Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (2023). Distributive numerals in Albanian. *Journal of Linguistics*, 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002222672300030X

Schmidt, R. (1990). The role of consciousness in second language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 11(2), 129–158. https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/11.2.129

Schmitt, N. (2000). Vocabulary in language teaching. Cambridge University Press.

Selinker, L. (1972). Interlanguage. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 10(3), 209–231. https://doi.org/10.1515/iral.1972.10.1-4.209

Skehan, P. (1998). A cognitive approach to language learning. Oxford University Press.

Swan, M., & Smith, B. (2001). *Learner English: A teacher's guide to interference and other problems* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Thornbury, S. (1999). *How to teach grammar*. Pearson Education.

Topalli, K. (2009). Morfologjia historike e gjuhës shqipe. Universiteti i Tiranës.

Ur, P. (2011). *Grammar practice activities: A practical guide for teachers* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Widdowson, H. G. (1990). Aspects of language teaching. Oxford University Press.

Yule, G. (2017). The study of language (6th ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Xhemaili, M. (2022). The importance of the English language in public diplomacy and international relations. *Journal of Liberty and International Affairs*, 8(1), 322–339. https://doi.org/10.47305/JLIA2281322x

Xhemaili, M. (2023). Student motivation and learning: The impact of collaborative learning in English as foreign language classes. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science*, *Engineering and Education*, *11*(2), 301–309. https://ijcrsee.com/index.php/ijcrsee/article/view/2634/975

Xhemaili, M., & Kasumi, H. (2023). Teachers' perceptions of the importance of linguistics in the teaching of speaking skills in EFL: A case study in the Republic of North Macedonia. *Palimpsest*, 8(16), 191–202. https://doi.org/10.46763/PALIM23816

Xhemaili, M., & Maliqi, F. (2024). Native language interference in writing: A case study of Albanian high school EFL learners in the Republic of North Macedonia. *The International Journal of Interdisciplinary Global Studies*, 22(2), 85–102. https://doi.org/10.18848/2327-0063/CGP/v22i02/85-1020

Zyzik, E., & Azevedo, C. (2009). Word class distinctions and the acquisition of phrasal verbs: A usage-based approach. *Language Learning*, *59*(3), 671–703. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2009.00520.x